O 1732

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Town of Durham

8 Newmarket Road Durham, NH 03824-2898 Phone (603) 868-8064 www.ci.durham.nh.us

<u>Planning Consultant's Review</u> Planning Board Meeting – Wednesday, July 11, 2018

- XI. Public Hearing Mill Plaza Redevelopment. 7 Mill Road. 1) Site plan review and 2) Conditional Use for mixed use and activity within the wetland and shoreland overlay districts. Colonial Durham Associates, LP, property owner. Sean McCauley, agent. Joe Persechino, Tighe & Bond, engineer. Steve Cecil and Emily Innes, Harriman, site planner. Ari Pollack, attorney. Rick Taintor, Town's Contract Planner. Central Business District. Map 5, Lot 1-1.
- I recommend that the Board hold the public hearing and vote to continue it to August 8, 2018.

Please note the following:

- 1) The applicant's team will continue their presentation of the proposed project. It is anticipated that the presentation will include more detail on the proposed landscaping plan and will also address technical site issues such as utilities, lighting, and stormwater/drainage management.
- 2) While the applicant's *presentation* on July 11 will focus on specific aspects of the proposed redevelopment project, the *public hearing* concerns the project in its entirety. Planning Board members and members of the public will be able to address any aspect of the project as advertised, including all application materials submitted and posted on the Town website.
- 3) As discussed at the June 27 Planning Board meeting, the applicant has proposed the following schedule of monthly meetings going forward:

August 8 Traffic impact analysis and Durham traffic model run

September 12 Fiscal impact analysis; Conditional use permit criteria

October 10 First major revision of proposed site plan:

Complete presentation of proposed project

Responses to outstanding questions

November 14 To be determined

December 12 To be determined

- 4) The applicant's traffic impact and fiscal impact analyses are still in progress. In order to meet the timeline proposed above, the traffic impact analysis will have to be submitted no later than Monday, July 30, and the fiscal impact analysis will have to be submitted no later than Friday, August 31 (Monday, September 3 is Labor Day).
 - It has been suggested that the Board may wish to engage independent consultants to conduct peer reviews of the applicant's traffic impact analysis and/or fiscal impact analysis. It would be appropriate to consider this at the July 11 meeting so as not to delay review of the project unnecessarily.
- 5) Attached to this memo is a list of questions, comments and issues raised by Town staff and Board members to date. I plan to organize this list by topic area, and I will add to and update the list throughout the review process. Please let me know of additional questions or comments that may have been raised by Board members during the hearing process and should therefore be added to the list.

Respectfully submitted,

Rick Taintor, AICP Community Planning Consultant July 6, 2018

Mill Plaza Redevelopment Staff and Planning Board Questions, Comments and Issues As of July 6, 2018

Question/Comment/Issue	Source	Status
Can the rain garden be moved away from the	TRG, 6/19	Open
driveway, to avoid issues like trash, pedestrian		
crossing, etc.?		
Is there room for signage between the driveway and	TRG, 6/19	Open
the rain garden?		
Provide pre-fire-flow calculations.	TRG, 6/19	Open
Will a guardrail or railing be needed on the back of	TRG, 6/19	Open
the sidewalk next to the site entrance? Look at the		
grades.		
Similarly, is a railing needed on the back of the		
shared-use path? Look at the need for handrails		
along the sidewalk and shared-use path for any		
drop of more than 30 inches.		
Check the existing sewer service to the Hannaford's	TRG, 6/19	Open
building and reconstruct as part of this project if it		
is not in good condition.		
The plan shows only one generator, which is for the	TRG, 6/19	Open
garage. Check whether generators will be required		
to provide emergency backup power for elevators		
in all buildings.		
Review trash containment/management. Only one	TRG, 6/19	Open
trash/compactor area is proposed for the		
development. It is not good practice to have		
restaurants wheel trash through the parking lot		
(dripping grease, etc.).		
There are several existing dumpsters behind	TRG, 6/19	Open
Hannaford's/Rite-Aid, which are not shown on the		
site plan. If these are proposed to remain, show		
them on the site plan.		
There are significant discrepancies between the site	TRG, 6/19	Open
plans and the landscaping/planting plans. In several		
areas, plantings shown on the planting plans cannot		
fit if the site is developed according to the site		
plans. A key example is the area between the		
shared-use path and the parking area south of		
building C2: in one place, the landscape plan shows		
trees planted where the site plan shows a separation		
of only 2 feet.		
Confirm that Eversource will permit the locations of	TRG, 6/19	Open

Question/Comment/Issue	Source	Status
the transformers shown on the plans, as well as the		
plantings around the transformers.		
Review snow storage area at rain garden in south	TRG, 6/19	Open
corner of the site. The plan requires lifting snow		
over a 4-foot fence and dropping it 16 feet to the		
rain garden. Will this work? How will the snow pile		
be maintained? How will the snow storage (and		
melting) impact the functioning of the rain garden?		
Will melting snow overflow into the brook?		
The Fire Department is concerned to ensure adequate	TRG, 6/19	Open
access for its equipment, particularly at the corner		
of building C2 (10-foot radius and proposed		
streetlight), as well as around building B. Deputy		
Chief Trull will provide the turning radius for the		
tower truck so that the applicant can analyze		
accessibility.		
The plan proposes to eliminate the small island in the	TRG, 6/19	Open
driveway, which will result in a very long crossing		
distance for pedestrians. Look carefully at this and		
propose a solution that will maximize pedestrian		
safety. Recognizing that truck turning is an issue,		
one possibility might be to pull the island back		
about a car length and provide a true pedestrian		
refuge island, serving those walking along Mill		
Road as well as those walking between the Mill		
Road and the new sidewalk along the brook.		
The current site plan shows only a few bike racks.	TRG, 6/19	Open
The plan should include a comprehensive bike		
parking strategy, including both short-term spaces		
for site visitors and long-term spaces for residents		
and employees.		
Calculate the amount of existing and proposed	PB, 6/27	Open
landscaped buffer between the driveway/parking		
area and the brook (i.e., not including traffic islands		
and other landscaping north of the driveway)	DD 4/05	
How much green area is being removed by cutting	PB, 6/27	Open
into the hill?	DD 6/07	
How much material (cu. yd.) is being taken out of the	PB, 6/27	Open
hill?	DD 6/07	
Will there be a berm along the Mill Road frontage,	PB, 6/27	Open
and if not is there a reason not to have it?	DD 6/07	
How much stormwater will be captured and filtered?	PB, 6/27	Open
What is the amount of snow that can be handled on	PB, 6/27	Open

Question/Comment/Issue	Source	Status
site? What scale of snowstorm will require loading off the site?		