Please send this form with Plot Plan and List of Abutters to the Town of Durham, 15 Newmarket Rd., Durham, NH 03824, Attn: Zoning Board of Adjustment CEIVED Town of Durham

## **Appeal for Applicant**

JUN 23 2014

\$ 306% pd. 6/23 COLUME 68030

State of New Hampshire	Planning, shaffordinss and Zoning
To: Zoning Board of Adjustment, Town of Durham NH	•
Name of Applicant: River's Edge Apartments	
Address: 277 Main Street, Durham	On-site Mgr - Fred Kell Phone #603-966-0209
Email: rpavone@wovenholdingsllc. com>	
Owner of Property Concerned: 277 Main Street, LLC (If same as above, write "Sa	- Ralph Pavone, Mgr.
Address: same	•
(If same as above, write "Same") Location of Property: 277 Main Street, Durham	
(Street & Number, Subdivision and	Lot number)
and other pertinent descriptive information) Tax Map	
Fill in Section 1, 2, 3 or 4 below as appropriate. Do not application is not acceptable unless all required Additional information may be supplied on separate inadequate.	statements have been made.
SECTION 1: APPEAL FROM AN ADMIN Appeal must be filed no later than 30 days from the date	
Relating to the interpretation and enforcement of the pr	ovision of the Zoning Ordinance.
Decision of the enforcement officer to be reviewed:	
Num	berDate
Article Section of the Zoning	g Ordinance in question.

## SECTION 2: APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION Description of proposed use showing justification for a Special Exception as specified in

the Zoning Ordinance ArticleSection	
SECTION 3: APPLICATION FOR EQUITABLE WAIVER	
The undersigned hereby requests an Equitable Waiver of Dimensional Requirement provided in RSA 674:33-A of the New Hampshire Planning and Land Use Regular	nts as . tions.
Please give a brief description of the situation:	
SECTION 4: APPLICATION FOR A VARIANCE	
STANDARD OF REVIEW: The New Hampshire Legislature has declared each of the following conditions must be found in order for a variance to be legally granted. Prior to seeking a variance, the property owner must have been DENIED building permit by the Building Inspector or approval by the Planning Board.	y
<ol> <li>No decrease in value of surrounding properties would be suffered;</li> <li>Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest;</li> <li>Denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship to the owner sit;</li> <li>By granting the variance substantial justice would be done;</li> <li>The use must not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the ordinance.</li> </ol>	eeking
A Variance is requested from Article 175-53 Section B of the Ordinance to permit the combining of 2 two bedroom units into a single 5 bedroom	Zoning <u>m uni</u> t,
with upgraded amenities and including an in-unit washer/dryer	
	·

Facts supporting this request:

Two supporting into requient
<ol> <li>No decrease in value of surrounding properties would be suffered because:</li> <li>Neither the outside of the building will change, nor the apartment square footage</li> </ol>
or occupancy. It does not change any septic system requirements or increase vehicle traffic to the property. This large apartment building is already in a multi-unit zone.
2. Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest because:  The apartment building already contains numerous multi-bedroom apartments, and this
request does not change the use or number of occupants
Current law requires the existence of unnecessary hardship for the granting of any variance, whether that is for a use not allowed in a particular zone or a deviation from a dimensional requirement.
3(A). Owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship because:
a. no fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purpose of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because:
There are no changes to the exterior or use of the building. It allows another cost option for students struggling to pay for housing for college. In the event that the building later transforms to non-student housing, this would likely be a highly sought after apartment, particularly with the inclusion of an in-unit washer/dryer, which substantially increases its value.
and
b. the proposed use is a reasonable one because:
Combining two 2 bedroom units into a 5 bedroom unit will allow for amenity upgrades including the addition of a washer/dryer within the unit. Students will each have their own individual sleeping and study space in a shared apartment, thus cutting the cost for each of them, making the living arrangement more affordable.
Or
3(B). Owing to special conditions of the property that distinguishes it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.

See below.	
	The use will not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the ordinance because:
	See below.

- 4. The owner of the building had plans in place to renovate these apartments and combine them prior to the ordinance change. He was unable to do the construction earlier as school was in session and he had planned to renovate over the summer. He made an innocent mistake by not being aware that the ordinance allowing 5 bedrooms was changed until only recently, and as a result, the apartment is already under agreement for the 2014-2015 school year as having 5 individual bedrooms with an in-unit washer/dryer.
- 5. The variance would continue to maintain multi-dwelling nature of the building as student housing, but would upgrade the living amenities and give students an additional option of how to share costs. In addition, River's Edge provides an atmosphere conducive to serious students, and combining these units will not change that commitment.