Please send this form with Plot Plan and List of Abutters to the Town of Durham, 15

Newmarket Rd., Durham, NH 03824, Attn: Zoning Board of Adjustment.

Town of Durham

Appeal for Applicant

MAR 24 2014

te of New Har	mpshire	Ştrafford;,SS s and Zor
	1 CA livetment Town of Durham NH 03824	
. Zomig bom	ant: Young Drive LLC Atto: From or Delination of Atto: From Zealand Rd Box 2815 Phone # 603	rancis Chase
me of Applica	int: 100119 DIZ(00 200 Phone # 60.5	3 758 7200
ldress: <u>14 Ne:</u>	W Zealmord BOX 2815 PHONE # EDC	
wner of Proper	The concerned: (If same as above, write "Same") (Street & Number, Subdivision and Lot number)	
ddress: 5	Ame	
(If sam	ne as above, write "Same")	
ocation of Fro	(Street & Number, Subdivision and Lot number)	
escription of F ad other pertin	Property (Give Tax Map number, length of frontage, sent descriptive information) 4-43-10	110, 168, 90, 130
This application Additional infinadequate. SECTION 1 Appeal must b	1, 2, 3 or 4 below as appropriate. Do not fill in moon is not acceptable unless all required statements formation may be supplied on separate sheets if the second	e space provided is VE DECISION
Dalating to the	e interpretation and enforcement of the provision of the	
Keranng to un	6 interpretation and carried	
	Same officer to be reviewed:	ne Zoning Ordinance
	Same officer to be reviewed:	
	ne enforcement officer to be reviewed:Number	ne Zoning OrdinanceDate
Decision of th	ne enforcement officer to be reviewed:Number	ne Zoning OrdinanceDate

SECTION 2: APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION

Zom	on of proposed use showing justification for a spectron good ordinance Article TX Section 175.19. Ph.
	125.24 13, I time 4
	TO THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE WAIVER
ECTI	ON 3: APPLICATION FOR EQUITABLE WAIVER
	ersigned hereby requests an Equitable Waiver of Dimensional Requirements as
ne una rovideo	ersigned hereby requests an Equitable Warver of Difficultional Requirements and Land Use Regulations. In RSA 674:33-A of the New Hampshire Planning and Land Use Regulations.
lease g	ive a brief description of the situation:
_	•
SECT	ION 4: APPLICATION FOR A VARIANCE
	ION 4: APPLICATION FOR A VARIANCE
	The New Hampshire Legislature has declared that
STAN	DARD OF REVIEW: The New Hampshire Legislature has declared that
STAN each of	DARD OF REVIEW: The New Hampshire Legislature has declared that the following conditions must be found in order for a variance to be legally a received the property owner must have been DENIED a
STAN each of	The New Hampshire Legislature has declared that
STAN each of granted buildin	The New Hampshire Legislature has declared that the following conditions must be found in order for a variance to be legally in Prior to seeking a variance, the property owner must have been DENIED at g permit by the Building Inspector or approval by the Planning Board.
STAN each of granted building	The New Hampshire Legislature has declared that the following conditions must be found in order for a variance to be legally Prior to seeking a variance, the property owner must have been DENIED a g permit by the Building Inspector or approval by the Planning Board. No decrease in value of surrounding properties would be suffered;
STAN each of granted building	The New Hampshire Legislature has declared that the following conditions must be found in order for a variance to be legally Prior to seeking a variance, the property owner must have been DENIED a g permit by the Building Inspector or approval by the Planning Board. No decrease in value of surrounding properties would be suffered;
each of granted building 1. 2. 3.	The New Hampshire Legislature has declared that the following conditions must be found in order for a variance to be legally. Prior to seeking a variance, the property owner must have been DENIED at g permit by the Building Inspector or approval by the Planning Board. No decrease in value of surrounding properties would be suffered; Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest; Denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship to the owner seeking.
STANeach of grantee building 1. 2. 3.	The New Hampshire Legislature has declared that the following conditions must be found in order for a variance to be legally. Prior to seeking a variance, the property owner must have been DENIED a g permit by the Building Inspector or approval by the Planning Board. No decrease in value of surrounding properties would be suffered; Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest; Denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship to the owner seeking it;
each of granted building 1. 2. 3.	The New Hampshire Legislature has declared that the following conditions must be found in order for a variance to be legally. Prior to seeking a variance, the property owner must have been DENIED at g permit by the Building Inspector or approval by the Planning Board. No decrease in value of surrounding properties would be suffered; Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest; Denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship to the owner seeking it; By granting the variance substantial justice would be done; The use must not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the ordinance.
each of granted building 1. 2. 3.	The New Hampshire Legislature has declared that the following conditions must be found in order for a variance to be legally. Prior to seeking a variance, the property owner must have been DENIED at g permit by the Building Inspector or approval by the Planning Board. No decrease in value of surrounding properties would be suffered; Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest; Denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship to the owner seeking it; By granting the variance substantial justice would be done; The use must not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the ordinance.
stan each of grantee buildir 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	The New Hampshire Legislature has declared that the following conditions must be found in order for a variance to be legally. Prior to seeking a variance, the property owner must have been DENIED a g permit by the Building Inspector or approval by the Planning Board. No decrease in value of surrounding properties would be suffered; Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest; Denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship to the owner seeking it; By granting the variance substantial justice would be done; The use must not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the ordinance.
stan each of grantee buildir 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	The New Hampshire Legislature has declared that the following conditions must be found in order for a variance to be legally. Prior to seeking a variance, the property owner must have been DENIED a g permit by the Building Inspector or approval by the Planning Board. No decrease in value of surrounding properties would be suffered; Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest; Denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship to the owner seeking it; By granting the variance substantial justice would be done; The use must not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the ordinance.
stan each of grantee buildir 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	The New Hampshire Legislature has declared that the following conditions must be found in order for a variance to be legally leading to be properly owner must have been DENIED a germit by the Building Inspector or approval by the Planning Board. No decrease in value of surrounding properties would be suffered; Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest; Denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship to the owner seeking it; By granting the variance substantial justice would be done; The use must not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the ordinance. iance is requested from Article XT Section 75-46-F1 of the Zonicance to permit See ATTAChed.
stan each of grantee buildir 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	The New Hampshire Legislature has declared that the following conditions must be found in order for a variance to be legally leading to be properly owner must have been DENIED a germit by the Building Inspector or approval by the Planning Board. No decrease in value of surrounding properties would be suffered; Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest; Denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship to the owner seeking it; By granting the variance substantial justice would be done; The use must not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the ordinance. iance is requested from Article XT Section 75-46-F1 of the Zonicance to permit See ATTAChed.
stan each of grantee buildir 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	The New Hampshire Legislature has declared that the following conditions must be found in order for a variance to be legally. Prior to seeking a variance, the property owner must have been DENIED a g permit by the Building Inspector or approval by the Planning Board. No decrease in value of surrounding properties would be suffered; Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest; Denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship to the owner seekin it; By granting the variance substantial justice would be done; The use must not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the ordinance. iance is requested from Article XT Section 75-46-61 of the Zoniance to permit 560 ATTACHED.

Updated 1/1/2010

Facts supporting this request:
1. No decrease in value of surrounding properties would be suffered because:
1. No decrease invalue of the state of the s
2. Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest because:
See ATTAched
Current law requires the existence of unnecessary hardship for the granting of any variance, whether that is for a use not allowed in a particular zone or a deviation from a dimensional requirement.
3(A). Owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in unnecessary hardship
because: a. no fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purpose of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because:
Property
and
b. the proposed use is a reasonable one because:
Or
Or

_). Owing to special conditions of the property that distinguishes it from other perties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance in the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of
it.	See Attached
4.	By granting the variance substantial justice would be done because:
	THE MINOREV
5.	The use will not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the ordinance because:
	JEE HILMONEUR

APPLICATION FOR VARIANCE YOUNG DRIVE DURHAM NH

A Variance is requested from article XIII (Wetlands) of the Zoning Ordinance to permit building upon a lot utilizing former wetland setbacks rather than the newly instituted wetland setbacks.

In support of this request:

I. There will be no decrease in value of surrounding properties

The proposed building will take place within a Planned Development that already exists. The new construction will mirror the structures already in place and serve the same purposes. This proposal is to finish what was initially proposed for the current subdivision and will enhance rather than diminish the value of the current properties in the area.

II. Granting the Variance would not be contrary to the public interest

The proposal would finish what was initially planned by the developer and the Town of Durham. The buildings would provide secure and reasonable housing to the general public as well as students attending the University. Further, this development provides affordable housing options that assist with compliance with Workforce Housing needs for the community of Durham.

III. (B) Owing to the special conditions of the property that distinguishes it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it

The property is in a Planned Development with lots created given the wetland setback regulations in force at the time of approval. If required to strictly comply with the current setback requirements the proposed development could not be completed. Any building would be contrary to the original planned intent of the property as a whole.

IV. By granting the Variance substantial justice would be done

Final stages of the original Planned Development could be completed.

Affordable housing would be added to the Town of Durham. The wetlands would still be protected, which is the intent of the setback requirements. The full potential of this development would have a positive impact on both the developer and the Town of Durham.

V. The use will not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the ordinance

The intent of the ordinance is to protect the wetlands while still allowing for development. The previous wetland requirements also were intended to protect the wetlands while still allowing for development. This Planned Development was proposed under the previous wetland setback requirements and approved by the Town of Durham. But for circumstances beyond the control of the present developer the lots in question would have been developed using the previous setback requirements. By granting the variance, the wetland protection concerns will be addressed and affordable housing will be added to the community as originally planned.