

From: [Beth Olshansky](#)
To: [Audrey Cline](#)
Cc: [Karen Edwards](#)
Subject: 221 Packers Falls Road
Date: Monday, November 12, 2018 4:07:03 PM

Dear Members of the ZBA,

I was dismayed to hear that last month, the request to build a large 50-long home within the wetlands buffer was approved at 221 Packers Falls Road. Now I see that the applicant has returned, requesting a special exception to put the septic system (considered a structure by definition) in the front yard setback because there is no other location. Had the ZBA been provided with these two requests simultaneously, it might have been clear that a home with a smaller footprint would have made sense and allowed for a septic system outside the front yard setback.

Additionally, we now learn that the approved lot line goes through the adjacent neighbor's home. The board is now faced with a variance request to reduce the size of the lot to move the lot line out of the neighbors house.

Why weren't all these requests brought forward at once so that The Board and the public would have been given a more comprehensive look at the constraints and issues regarding building on this site? The way this application is being brought to The Board is most unfortunate.

I wonder if the ZBA had understood all the constraints concerning this lot, if it would have approved the 50-foot home in the first place?

Beth Olshansky